

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,032	01/19/2001	Gary L. Bennis	5437cp	7928
7	590 05/29/2002			
Carl L. Johnson Jacobson and Johnson Suite 285 One West Water Street St. Paul, MO 55107-2080			EXAMINER	
			ROWAN, KURT C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5			3643	

DATE MAILED: 05/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/766,032

Applicant(s)

BENNIS

Examiner

**KURT ROWAN** 

Art Unit **3643** 

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 13, 2				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This act	ion is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>18-20</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>18-20</u>	is/are rejected.			
7)  Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No			
<ul> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
·				
<ul> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</li> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>				
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/766,032

Art Unit: 3643

2642

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 20 recites that the bobber has a spring constant in water which is confusing. In claim 20, line 2, "having a spring constant that is about equal to the spring constant of the bobber in water or" should be deleted and --such that-- or equivalent should be inserted to overcome this rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ingram.

Art Unit: 3643

The patent to Ingram shows a two stage fishing bobber having a main body 10 and a member 12 resiliently displace able with respect to the bobber main body in response to a force on the member as disclosed in column 2, lines 20-30.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ingram.

The patent to Ingram shows a two stage fishing bobber which forces down the member and then the main body. In reference to claim 19, it would have been obvious to employ a force to displace the member to a down position equal to the buoyant force of the bobber main body so that when the member is in the down position the bobber main body is submerged since this is merely a matter of design choice since not stated problem is solved.

7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ingram as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Behensky.

The patents to Ingram and Behensky show fishing floats. Ingram has been discussed above and does not show a spring. The patent to Behensky shows a resiliently displace able member 10, 15

Art Unit: 3643

9.

with a spring 16. In reference to claim 20, it would have been obvious to provide Ingram with a displace able member as shown by Behensky since merely one equivalent mechanical member is being replaced with another to hold the fishing line in the bobber main body.

## Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Latta, McBride, Schrader, Merriweather and Simmons show other bobbers.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **KURT ROWAN** whose telephone number is (703) 308-2321.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195 or (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,032

Art Unit: 3643

KURT ROWAN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3643

May 22, 2002